

Applicant: C. Bret Elzinga et al.

Att'y Docket No. 6922.34

Serial No.: 10/632,892

Filing Date: July 31, 2003

For: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR PROVIDING A DYNAMIC CONTINUAL
IMPROVEMENT EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENTINFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATIONS MADE BY APPLICANTU.S. Patent Documents

Examiner Initial*		Document Number	Issue/Publ. Date	Name	Class	Sub Class	Filing Date
CH	A1.	6,077,085	06/20/00	Parry et al.	434	322	05/19/98
	A2.	5,957,667	09/28/99	Parikh et al.	417	362	06/14/96
	A3.	5,797,754	08/25/98	Griswold et al.	434	322	01/09/97
	A4.	5,788,508	08/04/98	Lee et al.	434	350	06/07/95
	A5.	5,597,312	01/28/97	Bloom et al.	434	362	05/04/94
	A6.	5,503,560	04/02/96	Stentiford	434	167	07/25/89
	A7.	5,486,111	01/23/96	Watkins	434	157	01/04/94
	A8.	5,442,782	08/15/95	Malatesta et al.	395	600	08/13/93
	A9.	5,441,415	08/15/95	Lee et al.	434	350	12/06/93
	A10.	5,395,243	03/07/95	Lubin et al.	434	118	06/20/94
	A11.	5,387,104	02/07/95	Corder	434	156	02/07/94
	A12.	5,318,450	06/07/94	Carver	434	336	11/22/89
	A13.	5,316,485	05/31/94	Hirose	434	322	01/27/93
	A14.	5,306,154	04/26/94	Ujita et al.	434	218	03/05/92
	A15.	5,302,132	04/12/94	Corder	434	156	04/01/92
CH	A16.	4,637,797	01/20/87	Whitney et al.	434	118	01/11/85

Prior Art Cited by Applicants

While the filing of prior art statements is voluntary, the procedure is governed by the guidelines of Section 609 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure and 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98. To be considered a proper prior art statement, Form PTO-1449 shall be accompanied by an explanation of relevance of each listed item, a copy of each listed patent or publication or other item of information and a translation of the pertinent portions of foreign documents (if an existing translation is readily available to the applicant), and should be submitted in a timely manner as set out in MPEP Sec. 609.

Examiner: /Chanda Harris/

Date Considered:

06/15/2006

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant: C. Bret Elzinga et al. Att'y Docket No. 6922.34
Serial No.: 10/632,892
Filing Date: July 31, 2003
For: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR PROVIDING A DYNAMIC CONTINUAL
IMPROVEMENT EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENT

Examiners will consider all prior art citations submitted in conformance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98 and MPEP Sec. 609 and place their initials adjacent the citations in the spaces provided on this form. Examiners will also initial citations not in conformance with the guidelines which may have been considered. A reference may be considered by the Examiner for any reason whether or not the citation is in full conformance with the guidelines. A line will be drawn through a citation if it is not in conformance with the guidelines AND has not been considered. A copy of the submitted form, as reviewed by the Examiner, will be returned to the applicant with the next communication. The original of the form will be entered into the application file.

Each citation initialed by the Examiner will be printed on the issued patent in the same manner as prior art cited by the Examiner on Form PTO-892.

The reference designations "A1", "A2", etc. (referring to Applicant's reference 1, Applicant's reference 2, etc.) will be used by the Examiner in the same manner as Examiner's reference designations "A", "B", "C", etc. on Office Action Form PTO-1142.

Examiner:

Chanda L. Harris

Date Considered:

6/15/06

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.
